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MR. FRUGE :
I call the meeting to order.
Welcome, ladies and gentlemen, to the December meeting of the Board of Commerce and Industry.

At this time, I will call on Ms.
Sorrell to give us a roll call, please.
MS . SORRELL:
Mat Parker for Governor Jindal.
(No response).
Ms. SORRELL:
Steve Windham for Lieutenant Governor Darden.

MR. WINDHAM:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Millie Atkins.
MS. ATKINS:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Lance Belcher.
MR. BELCHER:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Brian Bossier.

MR. BOSSIER:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Mayor Brasseaux.
MAYOR BRASSEAUX:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Gordon Burgess.
(No response).
MS . SORRELL:
Jeffrey Elmore.
MR. ELMORE:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Andre Fruge.
MR. FRUGE:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Richard Gonsoulin.
MR. GONSOULIN :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Steven Grissom for Secretary
Moret.
MR. GRISSOM:

Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Tom Holden.
MR. HOLDEN :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Jerry Jones.
MR. JONES :
Here.
MS . SORRELL :
William King.
MR. KING:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Martiny.
SENATOR MARTINY:
Here.
MS . SORRELL :
R.K. Mehrotra.

MR . MEHROTRA :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Representative Ponti.
REPRESENTATIVE PONTI:
Here.

MS . SORRELL:
Representative Pugh.
REPRESENTATIVE PUGH:
Here.
MS . SORRELL :
Senator Riser.
SENATOR RISER:
Here.
MS . SORRELL :
Gale Potts-Roque.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
Wilda Smith.
MS . SMITH:
Here.
MS . SORRELL :
Charles Soprano.
MR. SOPRANO:
Here.
MS . SORRELL:
We have a quorum.
MR. FRUGE :
Thank you.
Members, do I hear a motion for
approval of the minutes as presented? Moved by Mr. King. Second by Mr. Bell. Any
discussion on that motion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
Hearing none, all signify their
concurrence by saying "aye."
(Several members respond "aye".)
MR. FRUGE :
Any opposition?
(No response).
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered.
Okay. First in our agenda today
are the Quality Jobs Program presented by
Mr. Favaloro.
MR. FAVALORO:
I have six applications for the
Quality Jobs Program. 20141122 Archon
Information Systems, LLC, in Orleans.
20130036 Benteler Steel Tube Manufacturing Corporation in Caddo. 20130076 Conrad Shipyard, LLC, in Saint Mary. 20141202

Matheson Gas in Calcasieu. 20130067
Morehouse BioEnergy, LLC, in Morehouse.

20121219 Pelican Energy Consultants, LLC, in
Saint Tammany.
That concludes the new
applications.
MR. FRUGE :
Okay. Do I hear a motion for
approval? Moved by Ms. Atkins. Second by
Mr. Elmore. Any discussion on this motion?
Seeing none, all in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE :
Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
So ordered.
MR. FAVALORO:
Two requests to transfer
contracts. 20120946 WSP Chemicals \&
Technology, LLC, to Solvay USA, Inc., in
Caddo Parish and 20080954 Blackwater Midstream Corporation to American Midstream G.P., LLC, in Jefferson.

That concludes the specials.
MR. FRUGE :

Okay. Motion for acceptance.
Motion by Representative Pugh. Second by Mr. King.

Any discussion on the motion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any opposition.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered. Okay.
MR. FAVALORO:
I have a renewal. 20091182
Select Energy Services, LLC, in DeSoto
Parish.
MR. FRUGE:
Okay. I do need a motion on the renewal. Moved by the Mayor. Second by Mr. Holden.

Any discussion on the its
renewal?
(No response.)

MR. FRUGE :
All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any opposition.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
So ordered. Thank you, Frank.
Okay, Ms. Lambert.
MS . LAMBERT :
Good afternoon.
MR. FRUGE :
Restoration tax.
MS . LAMBERT :
Yes, sir. We have six new
applications. First one is for 20121173
Caillouet Land, LLC, in Lafourche. 20110779
Carver Theater Productions D/B/A Historic
Carver Theater in Orleans. 20140962
Metairie Hotel, LLC, in Jefferson. 20131410
Super Hospitality Owner, LLC, in East Baton
Rouge Parish. 20130848 Vieux Nouveau
Properties, LLC, in Orleans. The last one
is 20121038 Winingder Enterprises in Orleans

Parish.
MR. FRUGE:
Okay. I need to hear a motion
for acceptance. Moved by Mr. Smith. Second by Representative Pugh. Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
Those in favor, signify by
saying "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE :
Any opposition.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
So ordered. We have a --
MS . LAMBERT:
We have one renewal application
for application number 060962 General Growth
Properties, Inc., in Jefferson Parish.
MR. FRUGE :
Motion on the one renewal.
Moved by Mr. Soprano. Second by Senator
Martiny. Any discussion on this motion.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:

All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE :
Any opposition.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered. Name changes.
MS . LAMBERT :
Yes. We have three special
requests for changes in name only on the following RTA active contracts. First one is 060962 General Growth Properties, Inc., to Oakwood Shopping Center, LLC. 20121256 General Growth Properties (Oakwood Shopping Center, LLC) to Oakwood Shopping Center, LLC. And the last one is 20110857 Civic Theatre Operating Company, LLC, D/B/A Civic Theatre changed to Civic Theatre, LLC. That concludes the specials.

MR. FRUGE:
Okay. Do I have marry a motion on the specials? Moved by Mr. King. Second by Representative Ponti.

Do I have any discussion on this
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motion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered. Okay. Ms. Metoyer.
MS . METOYER :
Thank you.
MR. FRUGE:
Did I say that right?
MS . METOYER:
Yes. Correct.
We have 14 total applications,
but we're going to need to defer four.
Those four are Circle Food Stores,
Incorporated, 20121026 in Orleans Parish;
Deroche Grills of Veterans, LLC, 20140133,
Jefferson Parish. 20141356, Progressive
Casualty Insurance Company, East Baton Rouge
Parish; and 20120015, Twin Brothers Marine,

LLC, Saint Mary Parish. All of those would be deferred.

And the applications that we're
requesting approval are 20111262, Air
Products and Chemicals, Incorporated, Saint
Charles Parish; 20110959, Chalmette
Refining, LLC, Saint Bernard Parish;
20120853 Enlink Midstream Operating, LP,
Ascension Parish; 20140207 HD Supply
Construction Supply, Ltd., Ascension Parish.
20130230 Louisiana Party Company, LLC,
Terrebonne; 20120535 Martin Specialty
Coatings, LLC, Caddo Parish; 20110775 Motiva
Company, Inc., Saint Charles Parish;
20100806, Orion Instruments, LLC, East Baton
Rouge Parish. 20130171 Wal-Mart Stores
Louisiana, D/B/A Walmart Supercenter Orleans
Parish. 20140220 WJMB Transport 2, LLC, D/B/A Two Men and a Truck, Orleans Parish.

MR. FRUGE :
Okay.
MR. SOPRANO:
I have a question.
MR. FRUGE :
Yes.

MR. SOPRANO:
I'm not seeing four deferrals at
one time.
MS . METOYER:
There was a miscommunication
with revenue. Yes, sir. I apologize for that.

MR. FRUGE :
Do I hear a motion on these new
applications? Moved by Ms. Smith. Second by Ricky Gonsoulin. Any discussion on this motion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered.
MS . METOYER:
The contract termination
requests are 20110176 Tessier Building, LLC,

East Baton Rouge Parish; 20090851 Turner
Industries Group, LLC, East Baton Rouge
Parish; and 20101148 Waskey Bridges, Inc.,
East Baton Rouge Parish.
MR. FRUGE:
Okay. Moved by Mr. Jones.
Second by Ms. Atkins. Any discussion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE :
Any opposition.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
None. So ordered.
MS . METOYER :
We have one request for a name
Change. It's 20120299, Diversified Foods \&
Seasonings, Inc., D/B/A Diversified Foods \&
Seasonings. They're changing their name to
Diversified Foods \& Seasonings, LLC, D/B/A
Diversified Foods \& Seasonings. That's
Saint Tammany Parish.

We have two requests to add
additional owners. The first one is
20111122, TCI Packaging, LLC, Orleans
Parish; and 20120958 TCI Packaging, LLC,
Orleans Parish. And those are requests to add new owners, and that concludes my specials.

MR. FRUGE:
Okay. Do I hear a motion?
Motion by Senator Martiny. Second by Mr.
Soprano. Any discussion on this motion.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
All in favor, signify by saying
"aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any objections.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered.
Okay. Next, Ms. Weber.
MS . WEBER:
Yes, sir. I mean, I have a very small agenda, but do you want me to just do
it in-globo.
MR. FRUGE:
Let's go ahead and take those 32
in-globo.
MS . WEBER:
Okay. All right. I have
\$127,896,691 in new investment with 61 new jobs for a total of salaries of $\$ 30,189.640$ and 855 construction jobs at a salary of \$37, 909.843 .

MR. SOPRANO:
That's not correct. You said
37,000 .
MS . WEBER :
Million. I'm sorry.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Can you read it again for the record, please.

MS . WEBER:
Yes. $\$ 37,909,843$.
MR. SOPRANO:
And also the thirty.
MS . WEBER :
\$30, 189, 640 .
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :

Okay. You said thousand again.
MS . WEBER :
I did it again? I'm sorry.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Yeah. Why don't you just read the whole thing over again for us just, please, just for the record's sake.

MS. WEBER:
Yes, ma'am. $\$ 127,896,691$ in new investment. 61 new jobs with $\$ 30,189,640$ in salaries. 855 new construction jobs with 37,909,843. Did I pass this time.

MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Yes, you did.
MR. FRUGE:
We got the math right. Members, do I hear a motion? Moved by Senator Riser. Second by Ms. Atkins. Any discussion.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
All in favor, signify by saying "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any opposition.
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered.
MS . WEBER:
Do you want all the specials read in one.

MR. FRUGE :
Please. Thank you.
MS . WEBER:
Okay. I have two transfers of contracts. Red River Pharma, LLC, 20120609 and 20130503 to Nestle Health Science-Pamlab, Inc., in Caddo. I have Olympian Machine, LLC. Contracts: 070369, 20080920, 20110235, 20120318, 20130479 and 20140557 to Parco, Inc., D/B/A Olympian Machine in Terrebonne.

I have two changes in name. Arclin U.S.A., Inc. Contracts: 061591, 20100575 and 20110690 to Arclin U.S.A., LLC, in Winn. I have Graphic Flexible Packaging, LLC. Contracts: 20090818, 20120379, 20130338 and 20140440 to Mondi Bags USA, LLC, in Bienville.

I'm requesting cancellation of
three contracts under DOC Ready Mix, LLC, 20110761 and $20110761 A$ and then contract number 20130364. The company has sold their manufacturing assets to Builders Supply and are no longer manufacturing at their Caddo of Bossier locations.

MR. FRUGE :
Okay. Do I hear a motion for acceptance? Moved by Ms. Smith. Second by Representative Pugh.

Any discussion on this motion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
Hearing none, all in favor, signify by saying "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE:
Any opposition? So ordered.
Okay. Next, Ms. Weber.
MS . WEBER :
All right. Under our special requests, we have received requests from several companies for late renewal of contracts. The department's policy of the rule passed on Title 13: Section 529 A and

B, has previously determined that if a renewal document is not received before the contract expires, or within four months after expiration, with the reduction of one year of eligibility for each month it is late, the contract will not be renewed and will expire on the date noted for the beginning of the second five-year term.

Arkema - in August of 2012, they
were sent contracts for renewals, (project numbers 061546, 20080216, 20080230A, 20080234). LED never received the renewal
documents. In July 2011, contracts for renewal (project numbers 20040122A, 070314A, 070315A, 070316A -- there's an extra 6 in there -- 070317A, 070318A and 070319A) were mailed to the consultant, with LED confirming the consultant's correct mailing address and receipt of the 2012 renewals confirmed by the consultant in a meeting at my office. Arkema is now petitioning to have the expired contracts renewed.

Do you want to continue?
MR. FRUGE :
Continue, please.

MS. WEBER:
All right.
Weatherford U.S. also is
requesting a late renewal for contract number 062022 which was due for renewal in 2013. The 2013 renewals were mailed in July 2013 and an e-mail reminder was sent in October 2013 to the company contact, Mr. C.G. Gast, with the reminder that the contract would expire if not renewed. LED never received the renewal document from the company prior to the $12 / 31 / 2013$ expiration date. In October 2014, a phone call from the new controller provided information that the original point of contact, Mr. C.J. Gast, was no longer with the company. LED never received notification that the mailing address was incorrect or the e-mail address was undeliverable. November 3, 2014, an e-mail was received from Ms. Kimberly Robinson at Jones Walker showing a copy of the letter dated July 15, 2013, sent to Mr. Gast, and stamped received October 31, 2013 (presumably by the company) indicating they were in receipt of the contract in time to
renew, but for whatever reason the contract was not renewed. Weatherford U.S. signed the 2013 renewal contract on October 7, 2014, and has asked LED to bring it to the board for approval.

The Department's practice is to deny renewals that weren't requested in time as required by rule. We have communicated this to companies when asked to apply for an exemption after a contract's expiration.

MR. FRUGE :
Okay. Thank you, Ms. Weber.
Members, there are a number of
good cards before me and -- okay with you --
I think the way we're going to do this is
I'm not going to call names one by one. I think what we will do is we'll take the issue of Arkema first. I ask that in keeping with the rules, those of you who are going to comment as an entity, for instance, Arkema, limit your comments, please, to no more than ten minutes, and then we will listen to all of those that want to speak to the issue of Arkema, and then we'll ask those who with to speak to the issue of

Weatherford to make their comments after, and then Mr. Grissom will make some comments relative to LED's position, and then we'll see what happens after that. But that's how we're going to get started. Okay.

So that said, any of you who have filled out a blue card that wants to speak to the issue of Arkema, feel free, whomever, to step to the podium first.

MS . SIMS:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. FRUGE :
Please state your name for the record.

Phyllis Sims at the law firm of Kean Miller representing Arkema, Inc., and thank you, Mr. Chairman, and members of the board for the opportunity to bring this issue before you today. I have with me today the CFO of Arkema, Ms. Patricia McCarthy, who is in from Pennsylvania; Arkema's global group president, Richard Jenkins who is in from North Carolina; Brawn Vellue [ph], the Saint Charles Parish plant manager; and V.J. St. Pierre, the Saint

Charles Parish president. Also in the audience with us today in support is Greg Browser [ph], excessive vice president of Louisiana Chemical Association, Stephen

Waguespack, president of Louisiana Association of Business and Industry, and Dan Borne, president of Louisiana Chemical Association, is in route from another meeting. So $I$ will turn this over now first to Ms. McCarthy.

MR. FRUGE :
Thank you.
MS . McCARTHY:
Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen, members of the board. My name is Patricia McCarthy. I'm the chief financial officer of Arkema, Inc. Arkema is a medium-sized chemical company and ordered in France. It was created in 2006 by a spinoff from Total, a French oil company, and, as you can hear it, I am French, but my name doesn't say it. So first and foremost, I want to thank you for giving us the opportunity to be here today, and I'm glad to speak in front of you.

I came a long way to tell you how important those industry tax exemptions have been for our business and for its future. We operate in 16 different states in the U.S. and we thought we had the process in place to handle our property taxes, but it didn't work. Mistakes were made, and I apologize for that. I am not here to blame, but to speak about the future. We appreciate doing business in Louisiana. We like the business environment here and the support to the chemical industry. We have good corporate cities and we operate safely our plant and we pay good wages to our employees. Richard Jenkins, who runs this business will speak a little bit more about the future of this plant and some plans we have to expand it.

But I just want to point out that we have a quite strict process for capital investments in our company. All our capital expenditure projects have to be approved and has sustained by detailed economic analysis. And the tax exemptions were included in those detailed analyses and
they make a real difference to our plans and to the future of this plant. We are committed to Louisiana and we need your support for the reinstatement of those tax exemptions and hopefully you will help us here. Thank you.

MR. FRUGE: Thank you. MR. JENKINS: Mr. Chairman, members of the board, thank you for allowing me to come forward today to address these issues. My name is Richard Jenkins. I am the group president for Arkema Coating Resins. My business operates the Saint Charles plant in Hahnville. I appreciate that you're hearing our request. This is a critical decision in front of us as a business and we would appreciate your support. In fact, it's about the survivability of this plant moving forward from here. We have 50 full-time employees and 25 contract and project positions on average with the plant, and we were on a pathway to invest $\$ 30$ million into this plant until this issue arrived.

Over the past several weeks, we have met with just about everybody who was willing to meet with us to discuss this, and I know you have the detailed information package in front of you, and I do not with to be redundant, but I'll summarize a few points from the business side for your convenience. First, this plant is a key plant for us inside of our grid. We make a waterborne environmentally economic polymer, and the market for these products are recovering and increasing in the U.S. and we would like to follow the evolution of the market by increasing the output of the plant accordingly. We came to this plant in January 2010. It was a divestment from the Dow Chemical Company. It is ordered by the Federal Trade Commission. This plant was not completed when it was divested. It was partially built.

Two critical flaws need to be addressed. One is it needs a renewed control system, and if it doesn't get that by 2016, the plant will lose its license to operate. The second set of investments are
designed to improve the productivity and the profitability of the plant. With those, though we think we can turn this asset around in a few years, be a very strong contributor to the tax base here in

Louisiana. In fact, earlier this year in June, I stood in front of the board of Arkema and received approval for those series of investments, and we were just starting those. Of course, now those are on hold, pending the resolution of this particular topic.

As I look over the performance of the plant, the loss of these exemptions would be devastating. The numbers that have come to my attention of possibilities as what these losses would be, if $I$ put it into perspective for you, would be more than twice the income of the plant today. And if that moves forward over the next several years, $I$ will not be able to make the economic case to my management to make this investment.

So with that, I would like to, therefore, make the request of you to
restore the exemptions, keep good Louisiana people employed, and help us increase the viability of this plant going forward from here. Thank you very much.

MR. FRUGE :
Thank you.
SENATOR MARTINY:
Can I ask a question? Maybe
you're not the right person to answer it.
Over here. And I'm not that familiar with
this program, but did Arkema actually receive a tax bill once their five-year exemption expired; do you know?

MR. JENKINS:
The -- to my knowledge, the tax
bills received up until a communication in August were at the exempt rate. My first knowledge of this incident was this August a few weeks ago.

MR. MARTINY:
And did they send you a bill for the period of time from which your exemption expired or just for this year?

MR. JENKINS:
Let's see. I think Patricia --
yeah. Just this year.
MS . MCCARTHY:
Just this year.
MR. MARTINY:
And with that, that's when you made your application.

MS . MCCARTHY :
Exactly.
MR. MARTINY:
Okay. Thank you.
MR. FRUGE :
Any other questions? Thank you, Mr. Jenkins.

MR. JENKINS:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. FRUGE :
Anyone else to speak on Arkema?
MR. ST. PIERRE:
Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon,
members. I'm V.J. St. Pierre, parish president of Saint Charles Parish. I'm here today on the behalf of existing business located in my community. I respectfully ask that you reinstate Arkema's tax exemption for various reasons, but mostly because you
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and I have the same mission, to foster the economic development of the existing industries.

Arkema fully understands and
acknowledges that their agents did not comply with the rules of the program and failed to submit a five-year renewal, and in several meetings with the company, officials and $I$ understand that, though embarrassed, that their contractors did not perform the simplest task, potentially costing them millions of dollars. This mistake may jeopardize the viability of Arkema's Saint Charles Parish location, placing at risk 4.5 million dollars of annual economic impact to our community.

Arkema employs about 54 individuals, many of which are Saint Charles Parish residents. The company also employs 14 Louisiana residents at their Hahnville location. I have been contacted by many of the employees simply asking that their employer be allowed to retain this tax exemption and to remain financially stable so that they won't lose their jobs.

Forcing Arkema to pay three
years of un-budgeted back taxes would result in a tax increase of grossly 14 times more than their current tax liability. Based on the current assessment, the new tax bill would be about 1.4 million dollars more than they budgeted every year.

As a former business owner, I can understand and foresee if the unforeseeable taxes are imposed, the company will have no choice but to consider all cost-cutting measures, including layoffs, in order to generate the cash needed to pay for these taxes. In addition to the financial calamity facing local families, the new property tax liability would also risk the 30 to 40 million dollars in capital improvement the company is planning in their Hahnville location. In fact, Arkema has already submitted an advanced notification of phase one of the capital improvement plan, which calls for 11.8 million dollars investment in the hiring of new employers at an annual wage of over 75,000 per employee.

As the chief economic
development agent of Saint Charles Parish, it is my job to foster a healthy business climate, ensure that all business are treated fairly and they are given every opportunity to succeed.

Today as you are reviewing approving many important incentive factors being offered in order to get them to come to Louisiana, I'm asking you for your help to help me retain an existing business that supports existing jobs and protect our existing tax base. As you deliberate this most equitable and fair outcome for this request, $I$ respectfully ask that you consider the mild nature of the company's error. This is -- their mistake was not a dishonest one. They did not lie about the values, the qualities, the ownership, or the assets of their Saint Charles Parish facility. They did not misrepresent any data. Their mistake was trusting an out-of-state contractor who performed the routine task of nailing a simple form on a five-year tax renewal, a mistake I'm sure that, given the opportunity, they will not
make again.
The company knows that this
predicament is completely their fault and is willing to make amends for their mistakes.

I, once again, ask that you favorably consider Arkema's request, that we can continue to support our existing industries while we all work to attract new industries. As a matter of fact, as parish president, I travel around the nation attending seminars, economic seminars, conferences, they all say to try to attract new business, but do everything you can to retain and grow existing businesses. That's where your tax base is at.

> I believe this is the right
message to send to Louisiana employers. As already indicated to you, his intentions to hire more Louisiana residents to higher paying jobs to invest in the future. Thank you, and I appreciate your time and consideration.

MR. FRUGE :
Thank you, sir. Anyone else?
MR. CHAMPAGNE :

Good afternoon. I'm Greg
Champagne. I'm the sheriff of Saint Charles
Parish and ex-officio tax collector. I apologize for that. With me today, I have Gary Bennett, who's the assistant director of the Louisiana Sheriff's Association here for informational purposes. As you know, sheriffs, we are the ad hoc tax collectors in the state, and we want to keep up with these issues. Tab Troxler is our assessor in Saint Charles Parish. I don't think he put in a comment card, but he certainly is here for any questions, and Ricky Edwards is also on the staff of the LSA. I believe Paula with the Saint Charles Parish School District came, and in I'm not sure if she's going to speak or not.

I've been the sheriff for 18 and
a half years in Saint Charles Parish.
First, let me say that $I$ am a big supporter of business and industry. I have two brothers that work full-time in the petrochemical industry in Saint Charles Parish. My father retired from petrochemical. I have many, many friends
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and relative who have made their living in careers at petrochemical facilities in Saint Charles Parish. Just yesterday, my entire squad team, we were performing an active live shooting drill at Shell in Norco. We support and we have a full-time staff who's dedicated to homeland security provided by resources to the petrochemical industry.

I'm not here to shut anybody
down. I'm not here to bankrupt them. I may sound like I am opposed to exactly what they're asking for. I'm really here with some questions and looking for some information, because this is my first opportunity in 18 and a half years to actually appear before this board to have this opportunity because I don't recall this issue ever coming up. So I'm here for information for the future to find out exactly what's going on here today. And, of course, I'm not going to fool you and tell you that -- taxes are the life blood of some of the taxing bodies. Saint Charles sheriff's office receives no sales taxes, as the parish does and as the school board
does. We strictly rely on normal taxes. We do rely upon some moderate increases, which we have gotten over the years, from the growth and industry. So we're appreciative of all that. So I'm not going to stand here and tell you that at least my office doesn't stand to gain by increased taxes, but I'm not here to ask you to bankrupt or gut this company. I frankly don't know the validity of the information. I had one conversation with the lobbyist of the representative from Arkema, and the first thing he told me when he came to a meeting with me a few ago when the issue came up was that this was an error on the part -- of which you just heard -- by a third-party contractor who was responsible for doing this. So $I$ have a question. I used to practice law before I became sheriff. I carried $\$ 2$ million in liability malpractice insurance. I run a 400 employee operation today. I carry medical malpractice insurance for my medical staff. We carry a professional liability policy. I know those of you who may be attorneys know, you miss a deadline in the legal world, you
get your legs cut out from under you. I'm not saying to do that, but there are rules, and so $I$ have some questions, too, about why the rules, which I really just had the opportunity to review in the last few days that the board has promulgated, I guess administrative rules, in which the penalty, I was literally surprised to see, that if you're late for a month, you may be penalized one year and have no tax exemption. That's a pretty extreme penalty. So I'm asking you, why is that there? What is the purpose of that? Is that for a negligent person who accidentally fouls up or whatever, or what is the reason for that? So I'm not sure if you can answer my questions. I can ask them, but maybe you won't.

I do know Arkema is a
multinational company. They reported 6
billion, according to the Internet, in
revenue last year. My understanding is, and I'm sure they can jump up to correct me if I'm incorrect, is that their sales revenue was 150 million last year. I don't know if
that's absolutely correct. I'm sure their officials can correct that. So my question is why isn't this third-party administrator liable on a fiduciary claim of negligence for failure to do so? Ms. Weber indicated that they actually personally met with the consultant, if $I$ heard correctly, to advise them of you haven't filed your exemption in 2012. So what happened there? Was that not enough to convince you? I know that if the IRS told me that, Greg, we're going to give you a tax exemption every year; all you've got to do is file an application, you know, on April 15th to get it, I promise you that would be first on my list. So what happened there? And why is there not liability? So by granting what Arkema wants, full five years of amnesty, the past three years or two of which -- I don't know. You may do that, but who's going to benefit from that? Is it going to be that negligent contractor who doesn't have to -- - whose malpractice insurance doesn't have to kick in for this? And for your information, $I$ believe the tax bill is about $\$ 1.4$ million per year, which
we, by law, had to yesterday mail out, as I did with all my other taxpayers, mailed out
a tax bill. So their bill, for your
information, is $\$ 1.4$ million per year as has just been assessed by the assessor, as he had to do in accordance with law.

I just wonder if they're -- you know, my job is under the constitution as a chief law enforcement officer. I'm a stickler for the rules. I'm a stickler for the laws. And every day $I$ wake up and have to enforce laws. Some of them are popular; some of them are unpopular, but that's what I have to do. That's my job. So I'm just wondering if -- again, I'm not trying to put this company out of business. I don't want people losing jobs, but there are consequences, I mean. So what is the deterrence factor with law? This is a fantastic benefit that the state of Louisiana grants to the manufacturing industry. A great benefit. Ten years of tax exemption. I'm in favor of that, but we don't ask a whole lot in return, and so you -- so my question is are there some
consequences and what standards do you use?
I'm here really for the integrity of the system. What are the rules that you want us to play by as the tax collector, as the assessor? That's what we need to know. I want to point out that $I$ noticed, really in the last couple of days, you've got some letters from some public officials, some legislators, which were reform letters. Plus, I hate to bring this up, but unfortunately by position -- not in favor of this -- really having questions about it. Not that I'm not against it. I'm not trying to talk out of both sides of my mouth, but my position was misrepresent to a couple of those legislators that you got that letter on. And I wonder if they had written it had they known that. So I actually had some concerns in dealing with the representative of Arkema because $I$ didn't know if $I$ was getting the full story. I'll just leave it at that. And certainly 1.4 million is a lot of money. It's all, I suppose, relative. But, again, my question is what's going to happen in two years? If you grant Arkema
exemption, you give them the back three
years, you give them the next two years, in two years, they're going to have to begin
paying, like all taxpayers, their tax bill, which right now is 1.4 million. If 1.4 million is a devastating blow to them right now, what's it going to be in two years? I mean, will they remain in effect? I don't know. I mean, maybe business will get better. I don't know. So I really just have these questions. First time I've had the chance to appear before you, and maybe you can shed some insight for me. And I'm here. Look, whatever you do, I'm going to walk away -- it's great. A little additional revenue would be good, but, again, I'm not here to put people out of business. I'm not here to have people lose jobs. You know, there's an old saying. Just tell me what the rules are, and I'll follow them.

So I appreciate you hearing from me. If there are any questions, I can answer them. Thank you.

MR. FRUGE :

Thank you, Sheriff.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE:
I'd like to say something to the sheriff in reference to getting his questions answered. Sir, you've met Ms. Weber who manages the program for the department?

MR. CHAMPAGNE :
I did not personally meet her.
I got some e-mails from her.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Okay. I would ask you, in the future, your questions, if you would work with her in the department, I'm sure she can help you get answers, and if not, she'll be able to tell you who to go to get answers.

MR. CHAMPAGNE :
I understand. And this issue
has really kind of erupted fairly recently. And I was out of town all last week in Washington.

MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
But you still deserve to get your questions answered. So if you'll work with her, I'm sure you-all will come to some
resolve with those issues.
MR. CHAMPAGNE :
Thank you. I appreciate it.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
You're welcome.
MR. FRUGE :
Okay. And I know that Mr.
Grissom is going to have some comments about this issue and the Weatherford.

Why don't we take some comments
from Weatherford first, and then you can
make some comments about the whole renewal process.

We have blue cards from a Ms.
Robinson and Chris Marshall. Please come forward.

MS . ROBINSON:
Good afternoon. Kimberly
Robinson with Jones Walker.
I am here on behalf of
Weatherford, and I have with me Mr. Chris Marshall who's the senior director for well construction products manufacturing at Weatherford, and we also have -- she didn't come up -- Ms. Bernadette Coleman who is the
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finance controller for the manufacturing facility in Houma. And I am going to reserve my comments to then and let Chris start.

MR . MARSHALL .
Mr. Chairman, members of the
board, I would like to express my
appreciation to each of you for taking time today to hear our appeal to you on this tax exemption. As $I$ believe all of you know, Weatherford is an oil and gas service company with a presence in every major oil and gas region of the world, and we certainly have a very large presence here in the state of Louisiana. Our company specializes in the fields of formation, evaluation, drilling, well construction and completion of production of the oil wells.
The services provided and technologies
developed by Weatherford directly assist our customers in reducing the cost and increasing the recovery of oil and gas reserves. Weatherford has 34 facilities in the state of Louisiana and 10 separate storage yards. These facilities employ

1,675 Louisiana residents, and Weatherford has a year-to-date payroll for 2014 of almost $\$ 103,000,000$. The subject facility that I'm here representing today, our shore facility, is covered by the industrial tax exemption contract. We're a manufacturing facility for cementation equipment. This facility was constructed post Katrina to replace our manufacturing facility that was flooded by the storm. The building, machinery, and equipment reflect a \$55,000,000 investment by Weatherford. In addition, we invested a further 3.2 million in this facility in 2009, which included machinery, equipment, and the addition of a warehouse building for $\$ 425,000$. Currently, we're in the process of investing an additional $\$ 10$ million in equipment and capital improvements, which will be completed in March 2015 to further expand capacity and increase output. The manufacturing center in Terrebonne currently has 346 employees and the payroll for January to November of this year is slightly over $\$ 21$ million. Along with the additional
investment noted above and equipment, we're currently aggressively recruiting and hiring personnel. And, in fact, over the past 120 days, we've increased our skilled labor head count by roughly 30 percent. We've added 57 direct labor employees in our Schriever manufacturing facility. Beyond the head count and sales from this facility, the company has a direct economic impact on state and local coffers. For January of 2013 through October 2014, the company's paid approximately $\$ 7.9$ million in sales and use taxes, $\$ 1.3$ million in income and franchise taxes, and more than $\$ 500,000$ annually in property taxes for the land inventory at this facility. We've provided its other operations in Terrebonne Parish, which pays just over $\$ 1$ million in property taxes annually.

The late submission of the subject renewal application for the industrial tax exemption program contract was absolutely an administrative oversight on our part resulting from a personnel change. The company contact listed on the

LED application is no longer an employee of Weatherford. The renewal notice was sent to his attention by mail. It was inadvertently overlooked, and the electronic communication subsequently sent to this employee by LED was not returned as undeliverable since his e-mail account was still active. Once we were made aware of this oversight, we immediately contacted LED to initiate the renewal process.

Weatherford has all the over requirements under the contract for the renewal. The only issue is a late submission of the renewal application, which we agree is an important admission, but that is the only admission. We have been in contact with the Terrebonne Parish assessor, and he has confirmed that no property taxes have been paid on the facility in 2014 and that he has no opposition to the board approving this renewal. This oversight and subsequent late submission of the renewal application is not the norm for Weatherford, and it is in no way an indication that the property tax exemption is not critical to
the continued operation and growth of this facility.

> In fact, there were three
renewal applications associated with other investor tax exempt program contracts in 2014, two in Scott, Louisiana, and the \$2.3 million additional tax facility in Terrebonne Parish that we're speaking of. All three were mailed to our contact at our Scott, Louisiana, facility and all three sent in a timely manner.

Our manufacturing facility in
Schriever has taken steps to ensure this does not happen on any other contracts with LED. Those step include providing LED with updated contact information for three persons at the facility, including plant controller, the general manager, and the senior director of manufacturing. A new procedure in $H R$ where a manager departs a facility will have that e-mail of that manager routed to another employee, and not only that, but the e-mail will automatically deactivate and will kick back a notice to the e-mail sender in 60 days. And the plant
controller now has reminders in their electronic calendar two months in advance of the deadline for new applications.

The cementation products
manufacturing facility in Terrebonne Parish is a key part of Weatherford's capacity in meeting the needs of both our U.S. and global customers. Our competitiveness in attracting skilled labor resources as well as ensuring a cost competitive environment are critical to our success.

I thank you again for taking the time to hear our appeal today. We understand we made an error in processing the renewal, and I'm confident that we've put improvements in place to avoid any similar occurrence. I respectfully request that our application for renewing our contract under the industrial tax exemption program is granted without penalty and that our partnership in providing the oil and gas industry superior products and services from our manufacturing center in Terrebonne Parish can continue as in years past. Thank you very much.

MR. FRUGE :
Thank you.
MS . JEANSONNE :
Thank you, members. I know Mr.
Grissom has comments. Before that, I'll just make one statement as it relates to the regulation. I, too, have gone through this regulation in depth for the last few weeks since we discovered this, and the regulation does have a permissive provision allowing this board to approve a contract renewal after the expiration date, and we would ask that you please consider the investment that Weatherford has made, its continuing investment in this state, and the employees that are working at that plant and the customers in Louisiana that rely on the products manufactured there and renew this contract. Thank you.

MR. FRUGE :
Thank you.
There's a question. Mr. Jones.
MR. JONES :
Mr. Marshall, I think this is
probably directed toward you. And you may
have indicated this in your comments. If so, I missed it. The number of years that you're out of date, $I$ guess, is it one year?

MR. MARSHALL :
One year. Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
And what was the amount of taxes
for that one year?
MR. MARSHALL :
The net impact to us was
$\$ 870,000$, I believe. $\$ 860,000$. Yes, sir.
MR. JONES :
Thank you.
MR. MARSHALL :
Just for clarification, just to
reiterate, that has not been paid yet either, so we certainly wouldn't be asking for rebate or anything like that. We're just asking 2014 to be considered as part of it.

MR. JONES :
I understand. Thank you.
MR. FRUGE :
Any other questions? Anyone
else in the audience want to speak to this
issue?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE :
Okay. Next we'll hear from Mr. Grissom who will make some comments on behalf of LED.

MR. GRISSOM:
Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Board members, I did want to reference several letters that should be placed in front of you regarding the issue at hand. I just want to make sure that you're aware of those letters and had a chance to review those.

What we have in front of us is a request for a five-year renewal, and the renewal is being filed late. The industrial tax exemption program is a long-standing tax exemption program in Louisiana that LED markets as a ten-year program with a five and five renewal process. So an original contract of five years, a five-year renewal as the initial five years winds down. CNI has historically, when we've looked at these contracts, that renewal decision has been very consistently positive as long as the
company meets the basic eligibility
requirements. So essentially, the LED team, the staff, the board looks at if this company is still manufacturing. If it does, in almost all instances, the approval has been granted, at least that's the history of the programs I've been with involved with CNA in the last six years.

From LED's perspective, we believe that a clerical issue like those that we've seen today should not stop the company from receiving an exemption for which they have made a qualifying investment and for which the board has the legal ability to be able to grant the late approval.

In fact, we took a look over the past three years, and the board has actually granted a number of late approvals without penalty. We counted 28 over the three-year time period. Now, previously, these late renewals have not been highlighted. They were actually included in the standard renewals that were brought to the board. We've reviewed our processes, and we'll now,
moving forward, ensure that we break those out if there are any late renewals going forward. Obviously, these two are late and are separated into a separate agenda item. So, again, the department believes that a clerical issue like this should not prevent the company from receiving the exemption, and before I wrap up my comments, I do want to highlight that we do encourage all companies to submit their timely renewal applications. It would certainly streamline the process for the board. But given that these are largely clerical issues that result in a late renewal file, we would like to see the companies receive those exemptions.

MR. FRUGE :
Questions?
MR. MARTINY:
I have a question. I'm just
curious that -- is it -- are you saying that it's -- does the board ever penalize anybody for late application?

MR. GRISSOM:
The 28 that I mentioned were
without penalty, so I'm not aware of a renewal that has been processed with a penalty.

MR. MARTINY:
Let me say, I'm a big fan of the program. I think it's great, but it seems to me that -- I'm looking at the rules that talk about if you're monthly they can penalize you a year, two months. And I can't imagine that, given the benefits from the program, that anybody is going to intentionally not apply for it, so it seems to me that every opportunity -- I mean, every possibility of granting this thing would come about as a result of a clerical error or if somebody, as these two entities have suggested, somebody dropped the ball in asking for it. There would be no reason for them not to ask for it. So I guess my question is what do you have the penalty -what do you have the penalty provisions in your rules if, $I$ would imagine, they're never going to be enforced? MR. GRISSOM:

See, the penalty provisions, as

I understand them, are, I guess, described that the board may apply those. The board has the discretion to apply construct that they would choose to apply. I agree with your comments in that if the department would like to see a clerical issue not prevent a company from receiving those exemptions, it would be unlikely that the board, if the board aligned with that policy, would be applying those penalties for being late because those would be associated with clerical issues.

MR. MARTINY:
As $I$ read it, it says -- it
doesn't have to be -- it's not a year. It's not a full year or any portion. A calendar month. If they miss it, they could potentially lose a year of the exemption, and I'm just asking you. Has the board ever penalized any of those 28? I mean, they dropped the ball and, obviously, as I think Greg Champagne pointed out, somebody obviously got paid to do this and didn't do it. And the only consequence seems to be on the recipients of the tax revenue. I'm just
making my point. I'm not asking you to impose the five years, but I do think it doesn't make a lot to sense to have these rules in here that have been in place maybe since 1947. I wasn't around in 1947, but it just seems like there's no penalty. We might as well take them out of the rules because nobody is going to intentionally not try to renew anything. So just an observation. I'll go back in Metairie and, you know.

Oh, I did want to ask this. It was my understanding that the Saint Charles Parish School Board had taken a position on this and sent in some something. Maybe I'm wrong, but $I$ didn't see that position in the terms. Or did they not take a position?

MS . JEANSONNE:
Excuse me. I apologize. I'm with Saint Charles Parish.

MR. FRUGE:
Come to the podium. State your name.

MS. JEANSONNE :
I'm Paula Jeansonne with Saint

Charles Parish School Board. The school
board did send a letter to LED and the governor's office stating our position, that we wanted -- Arkema did not file for the renewal, and in any other case and any other situation, taxpayers have to pay the consequences just like we do when we miss our deadlines, and the public's been involved and informed of this in the newspaper. The parishes are getting a windfall and now here we are today, rules in place, but not being followed by LED. And so that's our position. Thank you.

MS. POTTS-ROQUE: I'd like to make a commence in reference to the rule. The operative work is "may." It may impose a penalty, and they have just not exercised that option.

MR. GRISSOM:
Yes, I believe that's correct.
And perhaps our legal counsel could comment on the rules and how it applies to this particular situation.

MS . GRECO:
Leu Anne Greco, executive
counsel at LED. I think the source of the confusion is the structure of Rule 529. It starts off with what sounds like an absolute deadline, but then in Part B it clearly encompasses the board exercise of discretion on what penalty, if any, to assess on a late request for renewal.

You know, frankly, the only time
I think that a penalty would make sense would be if a company was so lax that they were billed, paid taxes, and you have a tax recipient body in the posture of having to make a refund if exemption was granted that way. And so I think in that case the board would probably want to consider knocking a year or two off of the exemption eligibility.

MR. FRUGE:
Thank you, Ms. Greco. Mr.
Jones.
MR. JONES :
I wanted to -- I know I've been
sitting on this board for now seven years, and I have never been aware of a situation where we've granted such a request, but I
understand from Mr. Grissom's comments that's because the issue's never been squarely put before this board. So while there may have 28 where it's been approved, there's not been a situation where this board has actually had to consider the issue before it. I think it is an unusual
situation. I would -- yes, Senator Martiny is correct. It's a clerical error, but I think that the great thing about boards like this is we have the opportunity to consider situations.

Mr. Jenkins, do you mind coming to the podium? I just have a couple of follow-up questions, I think, especially from the Arkema situation. I understand from your comments that your board has approved a $\$ 30 \mathrm{million}$ investment for this plan and that investment was approved prior to your learning of this error. Is that correct?

MR. JENKINS :
Yes, sir. That's correct.
MR. JONES:
How many jobs would come with
that $\$ 30 \mathrm{million}$ investment.
MR. JENKINS:
The construction projects
themselves would bring several dozen contractors and so on into the scope of things, and as the output is increased, we would look at adding additional shifts. So we're estimating on the order of another 10 to 20 over time.

MR. JONES :
Over time being how long?
MR. JENKINS:
Over the next five years.
MR. JONES :
Are you aware of any impediments to moving forward with the project other than this issue that we're before the board today?

MR. JENKINS:
No, sir. This is it.
MR. JONES :
So as far as you're concerned, if the board were to grant this renewal, then Arkema will, in fact, move forward with this $\$ 30$ million investment.

MR. JENKINS:
That's correct.
MR. JONES:
Let's go to the sheriff's questions that he raised. I think it's a good question. Have you-all contacted the errors admissions here for your consultant?

MR. JENKINS:
Could you repeat the question?
MR. JONES:
Have you-all contacted your consultant who made this error or their errors in admissions insurance carrier?

MR. JENKINS:
Yes. We have been in contact with this particular contractor.

MR. JONES :
And has there been any comment or response as to whether they were willing or able to meet any deficit that you may incur because of this error?

MR. JENKINS:
The nature of this particular firm is a small family firm in Texas. It's not one of the big accounting type firms.

Because of the nature of the vestment from Dow, the major asset required by Arkema was in Texas, and that's why this particular consultant was just continuing and in that service. Of course, we no longer employ that consultant, so that's one remedy that we made, and then the other --

MR. JONES :
I'm not attempting to make light of the situation, but what have we learned from this? Well, you don't get a Texas consultant for a Louisiana program, do you. MR. JENKINS:

I absolutely agree, sir. MR. JONES:

I am very sympathetic -- or empathetic, I should say. Not sympathetic, but empathetic to your condition, but I am more interested in a scenario in which this board, should it vote to approve this renewal, and then Arkema not proceed with this capital investment. That would look bad for all of us, and so hence my question to you in this public form that you are intending to move forward with this
investment and you are aware of no impediments to your doing so at this point.

MR. JENKINS:
Yes, sir. That's correct.
MR . JONES .
That's all the questions I have.
MR. FRUGE :
Thank you, sir. Any other
questions or comments? Representative Pugh.
REPRESENTATIVE PUGH:
Mr. Chairman, from the
information that we've heard today and we've received in my office and from Percy as well, I think it's a favorable time to move forward and reinstate these two companies into the program.

MR. FRUGE :
That is a motion, $I$ believe.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE:
I second.
MR. FRUGE :
Second by Ms. Potts-Roque. We have a motion on the floor. Is there any further decision on this motion? What say you, members? All in favor, signify by
saying "aye."
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE :
Anybody in opposition?
(Several members respond.)
MR. FRUGE :
One vote. Three votes. The number is growing.

MR. JONES :
Mr. Chairman, I'd ask for a roll
call vote.
MR. FRUGE :
Okay. Roll call vote. Okay.
We'll start on our right, I guess. Or -no, go ahead in the order that you have.

MS . SORRELL:
Steve Windham.
MR. WINDHAM:
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Millie Atkins.
MS . ATKINS:
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Lance Belcher.

MR. BELCHER:
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Brian Bossier.
BRIAN BOSSIER:
Nay.
MS . SORRELL:
Mayor Brasseaux.
MAYOR BRASSEAUX:
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Jeffrey Elmore.
Mr. Elmore:
Nay.
MS . SORRELL:
Andre Fruge.
MR. FRUGE :
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Richard Gonsoulin.
MR. GONSOULIN.
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Steven Grissom.
MR. GRISSOM:

Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Thomas Holden.
MR. HOLDEN :
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Jerry Jones.
MR. JONES :
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
William King.
MR. KING.
Nay.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Martiny.
SENATOR MARTINY:
Nay.
MS . SORRELL:
R.K. Mehrotra.

MR . MEHROTRA :
Aye.
MS . SORREL:
Representative Ponti.
REPRESENTATIVE PONTI:
Aye.

MS . SORRELL:
Representative Pugh.
REPRESENTATIVE PUGH:
Yes.
MS . SORRELL:
Senator Riser.
SENATOR RISER:
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Gale Potts-Roque.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE.
Aye.
MS . SORRELL:
Wilda Smith.
MS . SMITH:
Aye.
MS . SORREL:
Charles Soprano.
MR. SOPRANO:
Aye .
MS. SORRELL:
We have 4 nays, 16 ayes.
MR. FRUGE :
16 ayes, 4 nays. Motion
carried. So ordered.

Ms. Weber, would you please
continue with the timely renewals for today.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Excuse me. Sir, we haven't taken up Weatherford.

MR. FRUGE :
The motion covered both.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
The motion covered both? Okay.
MR. JONES:
That's my understanding.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE :
Was it?
MR. JONES :
Yes.
MS . POTTS-ROQUE:
Okay.
MS . WEBER:
I have 37 renewals for the

MR. FRUGE :
Why don't we go in-globo.
MS . WEBER:
Yes, sir. That's it.

MR. FRUGE :
Okay. Thirty-seven applications
for renewal. Do I hear a motion on
approval? Moved by Mr. Soprano. Second by Senator Martiny. Any discussions on this motion?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
Being none, all in favor, signify by saying "aye:
(Several members respond "aye.")
MR. FRUGE :
Any opposition?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
So ordered.
Mr. Grissom, do you have any comments for us today, any further comments for us today?

MR. GRISSOM:
I do, and I'll be brief with my comments. I just wanted to provide the board members an update with activities across the state.

The November associated with the
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Benteler Steel Tube project in the Shreveport area, the $\$ 22$ million advanced manufacturing center that's associated with the project was dedicated, and this is a -the Bossier Parish Communities College, the BIBC center for advanced manufacturing and engineering technology will initially train workers for the project but then support training efforts for other manufacturers in the northwest region of Louisiana. So this is an exciting addition in higher education, particularly in higher education assets that are really focused on growing industry need. I'm excited about that dedication. The potential for that new facility and the program associated with that facility for not only the Benteler Steel Tube project but other manufacturers in the region as well. The first phase of the Benteler Steel Tube project is now 70 percent complete. This is a $\$ 975$ million project, 1.35 million square feet manufacturing complex in Bossier. So the first phase is nearly 70 percent complete. The company is scheduled to begin commercial production by the third quarter
of 2015, and the second phase still to follow later and be completed about 2020.

Also, on the business rankings front, we received additional good news over the last several months. In November, Forbes, ranking best states for business, Louisiana received a recommended number 29. So since 2008, we've moved from number 49 to number 29. Number 29 is the highest ever position in this publication's ranking. Obviously, we want to continue moving in a positive direction, but great to see us moving from number 49 to 29. In the report, Forbes stated that the biggest gain for the year was Louisiana. They noted that income growth and economic growth are among the best in the U.S. over the last five years and that lower business costs and an improved economic outlook also pushed up the bayou state. So good news for the Forbes ranking.

Additionally, in November, Site Selection ranked Louisiana number 2 in the nation for national business monitor ranking. The number 2 ranking in 2014 is

Louisiana's fifth consecutive year in the top 10, and just five years ago, Louisiana ranked number 25. So a great positive movement for the Site Selection ranking as well.

As I mentioned during our last meeting, Louisiana received its first ever number 1 ranking by for state business climate by Business Bissole in August. So continued positive movement, positive recognition associated with our business climate.

The state now ranks higher in
every national state business climate ranking than it ever did prior to 2008, and we're in the top 10 now in business climate rankings for five major national business publications. So excited about that continued recognition, and, you know, hopefully we'll see additional movement in the coming year of next calendar year.

To wrap things up, just want to
thank all of our board members for your participation today, for your service with this board, and I'll be happy to answer any
questions.
MR. FRUGE:
Any questions? Is there any other business to come before this board today?
(No response.)
MR. FRUGE:
Being none, members, as always, thank you for your service. We stand adjourned.
(Meeting concludes at 2:43 p.m.)
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